IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

DARLENE FAYE NAZELRODT.

Plaintiff,

v. CIVIL ACTION NO.: 3:14-CV-54

(GROH)

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert

[ECF 14], filed on March 18, 2015, to which neither party filed objections.

This Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate

judge's findings to which objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, failure

to file objections to the magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendation permits

the district court to review the recommendation under the standards that the district court

believes are appropriate, and, under these circumstances, the parties' right to de novo

review is waived. See Webb v. Califano, 468 F. Supp. 825 (E.D. Cal. 1979). Pursuant to

Judge Seibert's R&R, as well as 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Federal Rule of Civil

Procedure 6(d), objections were due fourteen days plus three days after entry of the R&R.

or March 16, 2015. Because no objections have been filed, this Court reviews the report

and recommendation for clear error.

Upon review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the Report and

Recommendation should be, and is, hereby **ORDERED ADOPTED**. For the reasons more

fully stated in the Report and Recommendation, this Court ORDERS that the Plaintiff's

Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF 9] and the Commissioner's Motion for Summary

Judgment [ECF 11] are **DENIED**, with a remand of the case to the Commissioner for further

proceedings consistent and in accord with the Report and Recommendation. Specifically,

the Court remands this case solely for a sufficiently specific discussion as to why the

opinion of Dr. Bensenhaver was given very little weight. Accordingly, this Court further

ORDERS that this matter be DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and that it be STRICKEN

FROM THE DOCKET OF THIS COURT. The Clerk is DIRECTED to enter judgment in

favor of the Plaintiff.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to all counsel of record.

DATED: April 13, 2015

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE